ر 1 د م	
 <u> </u>	

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after Initial Total Number of Pages in This Submission	Filing Date First Named Invent	d to a coffection of information unless r 10/045,830 29 October 2001 Or Dolgoff 2873 Sugarman	PTC/SE/21 (02-04) se through 07/31/2068. OMB 0851-0031 r. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE It displays a yalld OMB control number.			
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)						
Fee Transmittal Form  Fee Attached  Amendment/Reply  After Final  Affidevits/declaration(s)  Extension of Time Request  Express Abandonment Request  Information Disclosure Statement  Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)  Response to Missing Parts/ (ncomplete Application  Response to Missing Parts) under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	Drawing(s)  Licensing-related Pap  Petition Petition to Convert to Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Re Change of Correspon Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Remarks	ers After to Te Appe of Appe o	Allowance communication ichnology Center (TC) and Communication to Board opeals and Interferences and Communication to TC and Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) interprise information is Letter in Enclosure(s) (please fly below):  RECEIVED  CENTRAL FAX CENTER  MAR 1 6 2004			
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT  Firm or Individual name  Signature  Date  Merch 16, 2004  CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING  I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facetimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Poetal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O., Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.  Typed or printed name  Gerry J. Elman  Signeture  Date  March 16, 2004  This collection of Information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The integration is required to obtain or retein a benefit by the public which is to the (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentially is governed by 35 U.S. 9/ 122 and 37 CFR 1.4. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including optimizing preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will very depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form endors suggestions for moduling this bursay about the sent to the Chick information Officer, U.S. Department of Commerciae, P.O., Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS, SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O., Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.						

If you need essistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

PTO/SB/17 (10-03)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006, Owe 0651-0032

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Penerwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Complete if Known FEE TRANSMITTAL 10/045,830 Application Number 29 October 2001 Filing Date for FY 2004 Dolgoff First Named Inventor Effective 10/01/2003. Patent fees are subject to annual revision. Examiner Name Sugarman ✓ Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27 2873 Art Unit TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (S) 65.00 3DS1.032 Attorney Docket No. FEE CALCULATION (continued) METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply) 3. ADDITIONAL FEES Check Credit cand Money Other Large Entity , Breall Entity Deposit Account Fee Code Fee Description Deposit Account (\$) (\$) Fea.Paid 05-0845 2051 1051 130 65 Surcharge - late filing fee or oath Number Caposit 25 Surcharge - tate provisional filing fee or cover sheet 1052 50 2052 Elman Technology Law, P.C Account Name 130 Non-English specification 1053 130 1053 Director is authorized to: (check all that apply) 1812 2,520 1812 2,520 For filing a request for ex parte reexamination Charge fee(x) indicated below Credit any overpayments 920° Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1804 1804 820 Charge any additional fee(s) or any underpayment of fee(s) Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee 1806 1,840 1805 1.840° Requesting publication of SIR after to the above-identified deposit account. 1251 110 2251 Extension for reply within first month 55.00 FEE CALCULATION 210 Extension for reply within second month 1252 420 2252 1. BASIC FILING FEE 1253 950 2253 475 Extension for reply within third month arge Entity Small Entity Fee Paid Fee Fee Foe Fee Pse Description 1254 1,480 740 Extension for reply within fourth month 1255 2.010 2255 1.005 Extension for regly within fifth month 1001 770 2001 385 Littliffy filling fee 1401 330 2401 185 Notice of Appeal 1002 34D 2002 170 Design filing fee 2003 265 1402 330 2402 165 Filing a brief in support of an appeal 1003 530 Plant Gling fee 1403 290 2403 146 Request for oral hearing 1004 770 2004 385 Release filing fee 1451 1,510 1451 1,510 Patition to institute a public use proceeding 1005 180 2005 Provisional filing fee 80 1452 110 2452 55 Petition to revive - unavoidable 8UBTOTAL (1) (\$) 1453 1,330 2453 668 Petition to revive - unintentional 2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE 1501 1,330 2501 665 Utility Issue fee (or reissue) 1502 480 2502 240 Design issue fee Total Claims -20 1503 640 2503 320 Plant Issue fee independent Ctalms Multiple Dependent 1460 130 1480 130 Pestions to the Commissioner 50 60 Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q) 1807 1807 area Entity Small Emily 180 Submission of information Disclosure Stmt 1806 180 1808 Fee Description Pes Fee Code (\$) 40 Recording each patent assignment per Fee Fee Code (\$) 8021 40 B021 property (times number of properties) 1202 18 2202 Claims in excess of 20 385 Filing a submission after final rejection (37 GFR 1.129(a)) 1809 770 2809 1201 88 2201 43 Independent claims in excess of 3 1203 290 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid 770 385 For each additional invention to be 1810 2810 examined (37 CFR 1.129(b)) \*\* Raissus independent claims over original patent 1204 2204 385 Request for Continued Examination (RCE) 1801 770 "Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent 1802 900 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application 1205 2205 Other fee (apecify) SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) "Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 55.00 or number previously paid, if greater; For Raissued, see above (Complete (Tapplicable)) SUBMITTED BY Regulation No. Telephone 610-892-9942 24,404 Name (Print/Type) Gerry J. Elman

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 117 and 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to title (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

March 16, 2004

If you need essistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Signature

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 1 6 2004

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

In re U.S. Patent Application No.: 10/045,830 DOLGOFF, Eugene

For:

Three-Dimensional Display System

Filed:

29 October 2001

Atty Docket

3DS1.032

Official Fax 703-872-9306 Commissioner for Patents P.O. 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## FOR FORMAL ENTRY

## **RESPONSE 1**

This is a response to the Examiner's Action of January 16, 2004.

A shortened statutory period for reply of one month was set. This response is being submitted two months after the date of the Action. Accordingly a one-month extension is respectfully requested, and the extension fee is submitted herewith.

The Action requires restriction under 35 U.S.C. § 121. The Examiner identifies two groups of claims, Group I constitutes claims 1-7. The Examiner characterizes these claims as being drawn to an image display system having a composite image source, a second image source, and a beamcombiner, classified in class 359, subclass 630. Group II constitutes claims 8-15. The Examiner characterizes these claims as being drawn to a method of displaying a three-dimensional scene, classified in class 345, subclass 32.

The Examiner states that the inventions are related as product [Group I] and process of use [Group II]. The Examiner cites MPEP 806.05(h). The Examiner points out that the claims of Group II do not recite a beamcombiner. Accordingly the Examiner concludes that the inventions are distinct and requires restriction between the two groups of claims.

Applicant elects the claims of Group I, with traverse.

Applicant and his attorney appreciatively acknowledge the Examiner's courtesy in conducting a telephone interview on March 15, 2004. At the interview, Applicant's attorney informed the Examiner that an Information Disclosure Statement would be submitted promptly, disclosing certain U.S.

Response 1, Page 2 Serial No. 10/045,830

Date: March 16, 2004

patents, a Japanese patent, and a technical article, of which Applicant was aware.

In support of the traversal of the restriction requirement, Applicant explained that he considers the claims to define a single invention. In effect, the claims of Group II define a method, and the claims of Group I define apparatus particularly adapted to perform the method of the claims of Group II. The fact that the claims for apparatus recite a particular element that is not recited in the method claims, namely a beamcombiner, does not detract from the unity of the inventive concept.

Applicant accordingly submits that Claims 1-15 do not claim two or more "independent and distinct" inventions, as that term is used in 35 U.S.C. § 121 and thus, that they should not be required to be restricted.

Respectfully submitted,

Gerry J. Biman, Reg. No., 24,404

Customer No. 003775

Elman Technology Law, P.C.